

# C. I. F., SOUTHERN SECTION MONTHLY BULLETIN

Volume 26

NOVEMBER, 1962

No. 3

## MANY NEW LEADERS IN SS SCHOOL DISTRICTS

With the beginning of a new school year, we note that there have been many changes on the superintendents' level in many of our SS school districts. Although we do not see too much of our superintendents, we know they are not only responsible for, but are interested in, maintaining a sound interscholastic athletic program. We feel it would be of interest to all personnel in our member schools to know of these important changes.

Dr. John T. Shea resigned as superintendent of the Coachella Valley U.H.S.D. to assume a similar position with the Nordhoff U.H.S.D. Dr. Shea will be replaced at Coachella Valley by Melvin J. Curtis, who was formerly superintendent at Muroc. The successor to Mr. Curtis in the Muroc District is his former assistant, Richard B. Lynch.

The untimely death of Mr. Richard Gahr, former superintendent of Excelsior U.H.S.D., created a vacancy which has been filled by Mr. Murrell M. Miller, former assistant superintendent in charge of business. The retirement of Howard Beckner at Temple City finds Dr. Jack Rand assuming the superintendency. The Inglewood Unified H.S.D. was left without a superintendent when Dr. Harold Santee resigned to accept a position as superintendent of the Palo Alto School District. He will be replaced at Inglewood by Dr. Donald D. Woodington.

Dr. Weynard Bailey, who formerly served as superintendent-principal of the Colton School District has now dropped his principal's duties and will confine all activities to the superintendent's level. Dr. Austin R. Sellery, formerly principal at Palm Springs HS, has taken over the duties as superintendent of the Palm Springs Unified H.S.D.

With Dr. Max Rafferty engaged in strenuous campaigning for the office of State Superintendent of Schools, his position as superintendent of the La Canada Unified S.D. has been taken over by his former assistant, Dr. Paul E. Zintgraff.

Other new superintendents for the coming school year are Dr. James Prince of the Barstow City Schools; Dr. Leonard L. Murdy, El Segundo U.S.D.; Dr. John Dunworth, Beaumont Unified S.D.; Harry C. Anderson, Big Pine U.S.D.; W. Odie Wright, Long Beach Unified S.D.; and Mrs. Agnes B. Loundagin of the Owens Valley Unified S.D.

## NEW BASEBALL RULES FILM AVAILABLE

OFFICIAL BASEBALL, a new 25-minute, 16 mm. sound film, is the latest addition to the CIF, SS film library. This new picture is available now for booking by member schools and officials' groups.

The scenes for OFFICIAL BASEBALL were filmed on Henley Field, Lakeland, Florida, under the direction of W. M. Runyon, director of the Official Sports Film Service. Members of the National Alliance Baseball Rules Committee served as the technical staff, thereby assuring the authenticity of the ruling for the situations filmed.

The theme of OFFICIAL BASEBALL is centered around informative and entertaining play situations depicting the official rules interpretations covering the phases of batting, pitching, base running, fielding and umpiring. The film is produced to stimulate interest and knowledge for the fan as well as players, officials and baseball administrators.

OFFICIAL BASEBALL is recommended for use by umpires, coaches, players and fans for a better understanding and enjoyment of one of our national pastimes—Baseball.

Schools desiring to use a copy of this film should send a post card or phone the CIF, SS office, indicating the date or dates they prefer to have the film. There will be no charge for the use of this film, but we will expect the users to return it to our office as soon as they have completed showing it at their school.

## TRACK RECORD-HOLDER OVERLOOKED

In printing the new edition of our Blue Book, we inadvertently overlooked a CIF, SS track and field record that was tied in last year's competition.

In the semi-final meet held at Bellflower High School on Saturday, May 19, Don Davis of Magnolia HS in Anaheim won his heat in the Class C 660 yd. run in the fine time of 1:23.0. This performance tied the existing record established by Wayne Perryman of Burroughs HS of Burbank in 1961.

We apologize for this oversight and trust this will give this fine young runner the recognition he deserves.



**CALIFORNIA INTERSCHOLASTIC  
FEDERATION, SOUTHERN SECTION**

5443 W. Washington Blvd., Los Angeles 16, Calif.  
Telephone WEBster 1-1423

**Officers**

Roland H. Ewing, V.P., Atascadero HS, President  
Mr. Winston Nelson, Asst. Superintendent,  
Pomona Unified School District, Treasurer  
Mr. Kenneth Fagans, Commissioner of Athletics

**Executive Committee**

Keith Gunn, Principal, Victor Valley HS,  
Chairman  
Winston Nelson, Asst. Supt. Pomona HS Dist.  
Frank O. Hopkins, Supt. Brea-Olinda High  
School District  
Charles Ronin, V.P., Redlands HS  
John R. Taylor, Principal, Nordhoff HS  
Robert J. Schilling, Asst. Supt. Puente Dist.  
Harold Wetzler, V.P., Hawthorne HS  
Marion Hays, V.P., Harvard School  
Robert D. Ashton, Asst. Supt. Excelsior Dist.  
Roland H. Ewing, V.P., Atascadero HS

**REVIEW OF COURT ACTION  
AGAINST CIF, SS**

As indicated briefly in our October Monthly Bulletin, all members of the Executive Committee of the CIF, SS, were recently served with citations to appear in the Superior Court in Santa Barbara on September 24 for a hearing to answer the complaint of a plaintiff contesting the ruling of the CIF, SS, declaring a boy ineligible for athletics at San Marcos HS.

Because of the far-reaching implications of this action, we would like to present a resumé of the entire case for the information of all coaches and administrators in the Southern Section.

The situation first developed in the early summer of 1961 when Dr. Will Hayes, a legal resident of the San Marcos HS attendance area, indicated that he intended to have his son Douglas leave the Laguna Blanca School where he had attended for three years and enroll in September, 1961, at San Marcos HS. Dr. Hayes contacted Mr. Art North, who at that time was principal of San Marcos HS, to request a ruling as to the eligibility status of Douglas at San Marcos HS.

After reviewing the facts in the case, Mr. North informed Dr. Hayes that Douglas would be ineligible for interscholastic athletics at San Marcos HS for a period of one year, because the transfer violated the residence rule (Article X, paragraph 2) of the CIF, SS. Dr. Hayes then phoned Commissioner Fagans to inquire as to whether or not the ruling was correct. When informed that the ruling was correct, Dr. Hayes then inquired as to the circumstances under which boys may be eligible when they transfer from one school to another. It was then pointed out that boys are eligible only when the transfer is by court order or when the boy is compelled to transfer on account of a change of residence on

the part of his parents from one school district to another. Dr. Hayes then indicated that he was going to move to the Santa Barbara attendance area and then move back to the San Marcos district in order to make his son eligible.

On September 25, Dr. Hayes appeared before the Executive Committee at its meeting held in Santa Barbara and requested that his boy be declared eligible at San Marcos, because he had complied with the CIF, SS residence rule. Dr. Hayes stated that late in August he and his son, because of personal difficulties with his wife, had left the family home and had taken up residence in a home he owned in the Santa Barbara district.

After spending about two weeks in the house in the Santa Barbara attendance district, during which time the boy attended Santa Barbara HS for four days, the father and boy returned to take up residence with the rest of the family in the family home in the San Marcos district. The committee reviewed the evidence in the case and ruled that the boy was ineligible at San Marcos HS, because the two changes of residence were not bona fide and violated the spirit of our transfer rule.

Dr. Hayes then appealed the ruling of the Executive Committee and requested that he be permitted to appear before the group at their next meeting. On November 17, Dr. Hayes appeared before the committee and presented documentary evidence which purported to show that the changes of residence were indeed bona fide. After a thorough discussion of the entire matter, it was ruled on a split vote of the committee to declare Douglas Hayes eligible for athletics at San Marcos HS because of a technical compliance with our transfer rule.

Douglas Hayes was enrolled in September, 1961, as a junior at San Marcos HS, which meant that he was repeating the 11th grade. This means that he would complete his eight semesters of attendance in June, 1961, and would have no further eligibility in high school. Dr. Hayes was so informed during January of 1962.

Dr. Hayes then contacted both Mr. Russell of the State CIF office and Ken Fagans of the Southern Section in an effort to have the eight semester rule set aside so that Douglas could be declared eligible for the 1962 football season to compensate for the period of 1961 when, according to Dr. Hayes, the boy was illegally declared ineligible. It was the contention of the Southern Section that Douglas was not illegally deprived of competition, as the decision of the Executive Committee had not been reversed but the committee had simply made two different rulings in the matter, based on the evidence submitted the two times the case was reviewed.

Early in August of 1962, Dr. Hayes requested an immediate meeting of the Executive Committee for the purpose of considering his appeal for the waiving of the eight semester rule in the case of Douglas Hayes. When informed that such a meeting of school personnel was impossible

during the vacation period, Dr. Hayes demanded a mail vote on the matter, which was granted. The result of this mail vote was to the effect that the Executive Committee unanimously agreed that under the circumstances they did not have the right to make an exception to the eight semester rule and that Douglas Hayes had no further eligibility in high school.

Dr. Hayes then asked permission to attend the first regular meeting of the Executive Committee, which was held on Saturday, September 15, at San Luis Obispo. Dr. Hayes appeared before the committee and stated that unless his son was granted immediate eligibility, he would take the matter to court. The committee again informed Dr. Hayes that in their opinion Douglas Hayes was not entitled to eligibility and if he so desired he most certainly was entitled to take the matter to court.

Dr. Hayes then served each member of the Executive Committee with a summons to appear in court on September 24, then and there to show cause, if any they have, why they should not be enjoined and restrained during the pendency of this action from declaring plaintiff ineligible for interscholastic athletic competition while attending San Marcos HS during the fall semester of 1962, and why they should not be ordered to rescind their prior action, wherein they declared said plaintiff ineligible and declare plaintiff eligible for interscholastic athletic competition during the fall semester of 1962.

The matter was then referred to our attorneys for appropriate action. After an exhaustive study in the matter, Affidavits in Declaration form, in opposition to Preliminary Injunction and for use at hearing on Preliminary Injunction were prepared.

In the meantime, San Marcos HS was scheduled to play its first football game of the season on September 22, 1962. Although the court order had instructed the CIF, SS, to rescind its previous action declaring the boy ineligible, a league or school may always determine the eligibility of its own students provided they do not violate a CIF, SS, rule. In this case, the principal at San Marcos HS was of the opinion that Douglas Hayes was ineligible, because he had been in attendance for eight semesters in high school, so he declared the boy ineligible to participate in football for San Marcos HS until the disposition of the court case.

Just prior to the scheduled hearing on the matter, we were informed by our attorney that a Request for Dismissal in the case of Hayes vs. Hobson, et al, No. 66918 was filed on September 24, 1962. The dismissal was entered on September 24, and the case was terminated. The dismissal came about as a result of telephone conversations between the attorneys involved in the case. It seems that the allegations by the plaintiff were not completely factual, and upon the recommendation of his attorney, the action was withdrawn and the case dismissed.

We are pleased that the plaintiff dismissed the action, as it eliminates the time and cost involved in taking the case to court. We do feel, however, that had the case gone to court, we would have been awarded the verdict, because our Executive Committee had ruled on the eligibility of Douglas Hayes in accordance with our established rules and regulations.

The right of a state high school athletic association to declare boys ineligible for interscholastic athletics has been tested in many states. In all cases, the courts have ruled that there is a distinction between curricular and extracurricular activities of public schools and have upheld the right of a voluntary association of member schools to establish and enforce rules and regulations governing the interscholastic athletic program under its jurisdiction.

**MEMBERSHIP DUES TO SOUTHERN  
SECTION**

Annual dues to the CIF, Southern Section, based upon a school's enrollment as shown in the principal's October 31 report for the current year, will be due and payable on November 1. Because many administrators confuse our dues with those paid to the CIF Protection Fund, it is suggested that checks not be drawn until a school is properly billed by the SS office. We will bill all schools in duplicate around the first of November for current dues. Annual dues not paid by December 1 shall be termed delinquent.

Dues for the 1962-63 school year have been set at 4 cents per pupil enrolled on October 31, 1962. Minimum dues have been established at \$10.00 per school. Public schools base their dues on the principal's October 31 report. Private schools pay according to the number of pupils regularly enrolled as of October 31, and if it is an all-boys school, the enrollment should be doubled in computing annual dues.

Junior high schools pay dues based on the number of students enrolled on October 31 in the ninth grade only. Membership in the CIF, SS, is limited to grades 9, 10, 11 and 12 and students not enrolled in these grades are not eligible to participate in any CIF, SS, athletic activity.

**ADDITIONAL APPROVED  
SANCTIONED EVENTS**

**GYMNASTICS**

Feb. 15—Mt. SAC Invitational Gymnastics Meet  
@ Mt. San Antonio College, sponsored by  
Baldwin Park HS

**TRACK**

Mar. 26—Pomona Valley Meet of Champions @  
Claremont Men's College, sponsored by Upland HS

**WRESTLING**

Dec. 28—Upland HS Invitational Wrestling  
Tournament



## CLARIFICATION OF THE CIF, SS AWARD RULE

If the number of inquiries reaching the CIF, SS office is any indication, there appears to be some misunderstanding among administrators and coaches of our member schools relative to the correct interpretation of our award rule. We will endeavor to clarify this regulation for the information of all concerned.

Our Award Rule (Article VI, Section 3, Paragraph K) states that a boy cannot compete for or participate in competition where the award is in excess of \$10 in value. It then goes on to spell out the type of award under \$10 in value that a boy may accept and also lists the type of awards under \$10 in value which a boy may not accept.

A boy may compete for or accept an award under \$10 in value if the award is a suitably engraved badge, medal, plaque, ribbon, picture, certificate, or trophy. He may NOT compete for or accept an award under \$10 in value if it is cash, a purchase order, a gift certificate, or merchandise which cannot be suitably engraved.

In one community, the manager of a junior bowling tournament raised the question as to whether or not it would be permissible for his group to present government stamps or Blue Chip stamps as awards. He indicated that he would like to give this type of award, and the boys could then retain them until they were out of high school and then turn them in for merchandise or cash. This type of award would be a definite violation of our rule.

No person or organization may give a high school boy an award even if the award is under \$10 in value, if such award is cash, stamps, merchandise, or a purchase order which can be redeemed for cash or merchandise. They may not give merchandise as awards or purchase orders for such types of merchandise as jackets, sport shirts, neckties, shoes, etc.

A type of merchandise under \$10 in value which might be given under our award rule would be a small pen set for a desk, which would have a plate on which the name of the boy and the event was suitably engraved. A tie clasp suitably engraved would be another example of a type of merchandise award which would not violate our rule.

School administrators or coaches having knowledge of an outside person or organization contemplating giving awards that might be in violation of the CIF, SS award rule can assist greatly by contacting the responsible persons and informing them of our rules. They should be told that the acceptance of an illegal award by a high school boy can seriously jeopardize his amateur standing. Where assistance is needed in the clarification of the rule please feel free to contact the Commissioner at any time.

## SITES SELECTED FOR 1963 CIF, SS TRACK MEETS

After a thorough check of some of the finest track and field facilities in Southern California, we are pleased to announce that arrangements have been confirmed for the holding of the 1963 CIF, SS Championship Track and Field Meet at Cerritos College on Friday night, May 24, 1963.

Cerritos College is located on Alondra Boulevard near Pioneer Boulevard in the community of Norwalk and is easily accessible via the Santa Ana Freeway. The stadium has two permanent cement bleachers located on either side of the field. Each bleacher will accommodate 6,000 persons, all of whom are provided with an excellent view of the track.

The composition of the track is crushed brick and it is reputed to be one of the finest in Southern California. There are eight lanes on both the straightaway and curves, with two sets of pits available to facilitate the running of the field events.

Plenty of free parking is available just outside the stadium. We believe the Cerritos athletic facilities are among the finest in Southern California, and we are confident it will provide a fitting background for our great championship meet.

So that coaches, contestants and spectators may have an opportunity to attend both semi-final meets, they have been scheduled on the same day but at different times. One semi-final meet will be held on the lightning-fast track at Chaffey High School Saturday afternoon, May 18. The other will be conducted as a night activity at Compton High School on Saturday, May 18. From each semi-final meet we will qualify four boys in each event into the championship meet.

Four preliminary meets will be held on Saturday, May 11, and they have been selected on the basis of their facilities and their location. Fontana High School will host one prelim for qualifiers from leagues located in San Bernardino, Riverside and Imperial Counties. Another will be held at Huntington Beach High School in Orange County and the Long Beach area.

Schools in the Foothill and Northern Area will attend a preliminary meet to be held at Los Angeles Junior College in Van Nuys. Crespi High School, which is located in the San Fernando Valley, will serve as the host school and assume the responsibility for the management of the meet. The fourth and final prelim will be conducted at Bellflower High School.

The following sites, dates and times are listed for all 1963 championship meets:

May 11—Prelims  
Bellflower HS  
Huntington Beach  
Fontana HS  
LA Valley JC

May 18—Semis  
Chaffey, Aft.  
Compton, Nite  
May 24—Champ.  
Cerritos College