COUNCIL MINUTES
(Continued from page 4)

goes to the State Federated Council to support
the adoption of National Federation weight
classifications beginning with the 1990-91 se-
sons.
(C) Amendment, Article 4, Section 42— Fol-
lowing discussion, it was moved, seconded and
passed to direct the Southern Section dele-
tee to the State Federated Council to support
a proposal to amend Article 4, Section 42, in or-
der to give the State Commissioner more spec-
ific authority in State playoff activities.
(D) Adoption of Basketball Coaches' Box.
Following discussion, it was moved, seconded
and passed to direct the Southern Section dele-
tee to the State Federated Council to support
the basketball coaches box beginning with the
upcoming 1989-90 basketball season.
(E) Waiver of Rule 308— Following discus-
sion, it was moved, seconded and passed to
direct the Southern Section delegates to the
State Federated Council to not support a proposal
allowing for a waiver for the California/Nevada
Intercollegiate Ski Federation to practice on
Sundays.
(F) Travel Reimbursement for State Basket-
ball— Following discussion, it was moved,
seconded and passed to direct the Southern
Section delegates to the State Federated Council
to not support a proposal to increase the tra-
psensation cost for boys and girls basketball to
$2 per mile.
There being no further business to come before
the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

Submitted by:
DEAN KEELER
ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER

Approved by:
STAN THOMAS
COMMISSIONER OF ATHLETICS

BASKETBALL RULES
(Continued from page 5)
The rules committee also clarified that a player's request for a timeout must be given orally. More and more, players have been giving signals, such as raising the hand, without verbally asking the official for a timeout.

The committee selected four concerns for "Points of Emphasis" this year. Those areas of concern are rough play, principle of versatility, sportsmanship, and use of unauthorized signals and procedures.

"We have had all three of these points, but there is a continuing need for people in high school basketball to realize that play is getting rougher, the principle of versatility is not being enforced properly and sportsmanship is a must," Schindler said. Regarding versatility, the committee noted that the defensive player is not being given the rights he or she deserves. There are too many blocking fouls called when a player has done nothing illegal. The emphasis on officials to refrain from using unauthor-
ized signals and procedures is necessary in the interest of standardization of mechanics."

National Federation playing rules are used by approximately 17,000 high schools and more than 500,000 participants in boys basketball, as well as more than 16,000 schools that offer girls programs for almost 400,000 participants. From the standpoint of school programs, basketball is the most popular high school sport.

The 1989-90 CIF Southern Section basketball season will open Dec. 1.

ATHLETIC SYMPOSIUM SET FOR FEB. 7

Michigan's Jack Roberts To Keynote Event

The CIF Southern Section will ring in the new decade by presenting its 11th annual Athletic Administrators Symposium, entitled "The '90s — A New Beginning," scheduled for Wednesday, Feb. 7, at the Sequoia Athletic Club of Buena Park, beginning at 8 a.m.

John E. "Jack" Roberts, Executive Director of the Michigan High School Athletic Association, will be the featured speaker. In his keynote address, "Taking Sportsmanship Higher and Farther," Mr. Roberts hopes to impress upon athletic administrators to consider the practice of sportsmanship in a new light and to see the great effect good sportsmanship can have on high school athletic programs. The keynote theme is timely, in that the National Federation of State High School Associations has declared good sportsmanship as the major thrust of the 1989-90 school year.

In three years at the helm of the Michigan High School Athletic Association, Roberts has implemented programs to improve communications to and from 1,300 member schools, 10,000 registered officials and 13,000 head coaches. He has inspired the
COMMISSIONER'S CORNER

The October meeting of the CIFS-SF Executive Committee was called to order by Chairman Dr. Tom Jacobson at 7:00 pm on Thursday, October 26, 1989 at the CIFS-SF Office. All members were present except for Cynthia Greenman and Bruce Kitchens.

1. PRESIDENT'S UPDATE - President Dr. Tom Jacobson reported that the Committee on Description and Standards had held its meeting on October 25th and that the CIFS-SF Board of Directors had approved the minutes of that meeting.

2. COMMISSIONER'S UPDATE - Commissioner San Tamimi updated the Committee on the recent developments in the Commission's programming, including the new television series "CIFS Sports Focus" and the upcoming "CIFS Sports Week." He also mentioned the successful implementation of the "CIFS Sports Challenge" program.

3. ATHLETIC DIRECTORS - The Athletic Directors met earlier in the day to discuss the latest developments in the CIFS-SF programming. They are planning to introduce a new program called "CIFS Sports Cafe" to provide a weekly analysis of the latest events in CIFS-SF programming.

4. GIRLS' A.D. UPDATE - Sari Ross, Girls' A.D. Representative, reported on the Orange County girls' basketball teams' performance. She noted that the Orange County girls' basketball teams had a strong showing in the recent tournament, with the Orange County Girls' Basketball League winning the championship.

5. TREASURER'S REPORT - Ken Gunn, Treasurer, presented the annual financial report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1989. He noted that the budget was balanced and that the financial report was available for review by the Committee.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES
October 26, 1989

By Stan Thomas
Commissioner of Athletics

The CIFS Southern Section Office experienced a period of internal activity in the first quarter of 1989, which was due to the recent changes in the Commission's administrative staff. The time and effort needed to navigate these changes were minimal, and the CIFS-SF Office was able to continue its work without interruption.

As you are aware, each school pays to the State CIFS, a legal/liability assessment on an annual basis. This fee pays the cost of litigation each time a booster club, student or school district determines that it is in their best interest to sue the Section.

The CIFS-SF Office was able to maintain its integrity and enforce its rules through the recent purge, the costs were high to its members, and the office was able to provide a strong and effective voice for the organization.

In the great focus of media attention devoted to CIFS-SF activities during the period, one article best described the situation from the Office's perspective of view. It was an editorial column written by Jim Carlisle of the Thousand Oaks News Chronicle on Nov. 19, 1989. It is reprinted here with the permission of Mr. Carlisle and the News Chronicle.

LITIGATION CONCERN

Litigation concern during the recent football playoffs, the CIFS Southern Section underwent a period of internal activity in the first quarter of 1989, which was due to the recent changes in the Commission's administrative staff. The time and effort needed to navigate these changes were minimal, and the CIFS-SF Office was able to continue its work without interruption in the 1989-1990 football season.
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2. COMMISSIONER'S UPDATE - Commissioner San Tamimi updated the Committee on the recent developments in the Commission's programming, including the new television series "CIFS Sports Focus" and the upcoming "CIFS Sports Week." He also mentioned the successful implementation of the "CIFS Sports Challenge" program.

3. ATHLETIC DIRECTORS - The Athletic Directors met earlier in the day to discuss the latest developments in the CIFS-SF programming. They are planning to introduce a new program called "CIFS Sports Cafe" to provide a weekly analysis of the latest events in CIFS-SF programming.
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EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES
November 28, 1989

The November meeting of the CIF Southern Section Executive Committee was called to order by the President, Dr. Tom Moore, on Tuesday, November 28, 1989 at the CIF-SF Office. Executive Committee members were present with the exception of Craig Ferguson.

1. MINUTES – It was moved, seconded and passed the Executive Committee Minutes of the meeting of October 26, 1989.

2. PRESIDENT'S UPDATE – President Dr. Tom Moore informed the Executive Committee of his work during the fall season. This included the coaching of the CIF-SF Cross Country team and the coordination of the CIF-SF Sectional Meet.

3. COMMISSIONER'S UPDATE – Commissioner Michael Karon informed the Executive Committee about the activities and developments within the Southern Section, including the progress of the CIF-SF Sports Information Program, the preparation of the CIF-SF Rule Book, and other important issues.

4. HALL OF FAME COMMITTEE – It was moved, seconded and adopted the report of the Hall of Fame Committee, with the exception that the Committee recommended the establishment of a Hall of Fame Committee membership.

5. SUBMITTED – It was moved, seconded and adopted the report of the Hall of Fame Committee, with the exception that the Committee recommended the establishment of a Hall of Fame Committee membership.

6. SANTA ANA VALLEY MOUROS MOURNERS – The CIF Southern Section was saddened by the loss of Santa Ana Valley High School Girls' Athletic Director Judy Moorus, who passed away in November after a brief illness.

7. ROSS POSTOR – It was moved, seconded and adopted the report of the Ross Postor Committee, with the exception that the Committee recommended the establishment of a Ross Postor Committee membership.

8. CIF-SF BULLETIN – It was moved, seconded and adopted the report of the CIF-SF Bulletin Committee, with the exception that the Committee recommended the establishment of a CIF-SF Bulletin Committee membership.

9. NEW RULE SETTING PITCHING LIMIT

The 1990 baseball season, scheduled to open in the Southern Section on March 2, will usher in new rules that will place a limit on the amount of work an individual pitcher can complete in a single week. The rule, appearing in Rule 2400 (page 109) in the CIF-SF Blue Book, has been adopted by the California Interscholastic Federation member schools statewide.

The new rule limits that pitchers may work for 30 out of and/or three appearances in a calendar week, regardless of the season. Meetings are mandatory on Saturdays. Additional stipulations include: (a) Innings pitched, power failure, etc., shall count toward the total; (b) If the 30th day involves a double or triple play, the team will not be penalized; (c) An appearance is defined as a minimum of five pitches; and (d) Any violation constitutes a forfeit of the contest.

The new rule was born out of the National Federation Rules Committee, which deemed it necessary to curb the number of pitchers and the number of appearances, in order to preserve the integrity of the game. With the new rule, it is hoped that more pitching will be prevented.

The California rule originated from the Southern Section's CIF-SF Baseball Coaches Advisory Committee. The object of the committee was to meet and deliberate upon the purpose of the National Federation proposal and develop a rule that would enhance the quality of baseball played by CIF-SF member schools.

There has been a debate in the past about how many games a pitcher can throw during the season. The CIF-SF Blue Book has taken the lead role in helping to develop and implement the new rule for a single elimination tournament play as well.

See page 15 for the 1990 National Federation rule revisions.

ATTENTION: ATHLETIC DIRECTORS
Please Note

The AMERICAN SACRAMENTO STUDY EXCHANGE PROGRAM is no longer on the approved list of CIF-SF Eligible for athletic eligibility. Please see Rule 212 (c) in the CIF Blue Book for further information.

SYMPOSIUM SCHEDULE
3:00 – 3:30 Registration
3:00 – 3:30 Welcome
3:30 – 3:45 Keynote Speaker – John E. Roberts
3:45 – 5:00 Session I
3:45 – 5:00 Session II
4:10 – 4:15 Vendor
4:15 – 4:25 General Session
BOYS 4-4 DIVISION
1. Camarillo - 56 (78.59)
2. Saddleback - 103 (80.44)
3. Serra - 118 (81.20)
Individual
1. Dave Hartman, Canyon/C.C. (15.12)
2. Abe Valdez, Camarillo (15.13)
3. Eliazer Herrera, Hoover (15.15)
4. Israel Pice, Torrance (15.19)
5. Dan Berkland, Canyon/C.C. (15.21)

BOYS 3-4 DIVISION
Team
1. Hart - 64 (82.06)
2. San Gabriel - 102 (82.51)
3. Rubidoux - 115 (83.51)
Individual
1. Angel Martinez, San Gabriel (15.32)
2. Luis Encuerna, Eisenhower (15.36)
3. Reni Rigal, Loyola (15.41)
4. Bill Branigan, Glendora (15.44)
5. James Pendergraph, Norte Vista (15.46)

BOYS 2-5 DIVISION
Team
1. Agoura - 47 (79.44)
2. Arroyo Grande - 102 (81.52)
3. Lompoc - 136 (83.48)
Individual
1. Brian Darmouth, Agoura (14.36)
2. Luis Quintana, Arroyo (15.11)
3. Kyle Morris, San Luis Obispo (15.47)
4. Quinn Reever, Agoura (15.52)
5. Grega Hines, Arroyo Grande (15.56)

BOYS 1-6 DIVISION
Team
1. St. Anthony - 78 (84.04)
2. Cathedral - 106 (85.48)
3. Whittier Christian - 112 (86.01)
Individual
1. Oscar Perez, Pater Noster (16.01)
2. Geoff Janquiart, St. Anthony (16.06)
3. Andre Faubert, St. Anthony (16.07)
4. Jorge Bortz, St. Anthony (16.18)
5. Oscar Montes, Marantha (16.18)

GIRLS 4-4 DIVISION
Team
1. Palos Verdes - 51 (97.01)
2. Burress - 72 (98.24)
3. San Clemente - 137 (101.15)
Individual
1. Shelly Taylor, Edison (17.59)
2. Jeanie Rothman, Westlake (18.01)
3. Tanya Bix, University (18.22)
4. Michael Pino, Calabasas (18.53)
5. Terri Smythers, San Clemente (18.51)

GIRLS 3-4 DIVISION
Team
1. Alta Loma - 68 (100.55)
2. Paramount - 77 (101.54)
3. Rubidoux - 126 (104.07)

GIRLS 2-5 DIVISION
Team
1. Agoura - 47 (96.17)
2. Arroyo Grande - 83 (100.07)
3. Woodbridge - 98 (101.06)

Individual
1. Deena Drossin, Agoura (17.42)
2. Karen Heecom, South Hills (18.39)
3. Angela Oreille, Arroyo Grande (18.56)
4. Brandi Barr, Arroyo Grande (19.01)
5. Tiffany York, Agoura (19.04)

GIRLS 1-6 DIVISION
Team
1. Marantha - 96 (107.25)
2. L.A. Baptist - 96 (107.33)
3. Santa Margarita - 109 (108.37)
Individual
1. Maribelle Aparicio, Fillmore (19.03)
2. Janet Harvey, Paraclete (19.25)
3. Cedar Lindsey, Cape (19.42)
4. Tanya Thayer, Serra (19.51)
5. Jamie Kendig, La Reina (20.04)

SOUTHERN SECTION CIF/REEBOK 1989 CROSS COUNTRY TEAM CHAMPIONS
Boys Girls
Camarillo Palos Verdes
Hart Alta Loma
Agoura Agoura
San Luis Obispo

SOUTHERN SECTION CIF/REEBOK WEST COAST NETTING 1989 GIRLS VOLLEYBALL PLAYOFF RESULTS

SOUTHERN SECTION CIF/REEBOK 1989 VOLLEYBALL CHAMPIONS
5-A DIVISION
South Coast
4-A DIVISION
South Coast
4-DIVISION
Royal
4-DIVISION
La Habra
2-A DIVISION
San Luis Obispo
1-A DIVISION
San Gabriel
Small Schools

4-A DIVISION
Second Round: Santa Monica defeat University Park 15-9, 15-10, 15-3, 15-3.
Quarterfinals: La Habra defeat the San Jose 15-6, 15-4, 15-6, 15-6, 15-6, 15-6.

4-DIVISION
Western

4-DIVISION
San Fernando
First Round: San Fernando defeat San Fernando 15-8, 15-3, 15-8, 15-3.
Second Round: San Fernando defeat San Fernando 15-8, 15-3, 15-3, 15-3. }
A special meeting of the CIF Southern Section Executive Committee was called to order by President Dr. Tom Jacobson at 9:00 a.m. on December 15, 1989. All members were present with the exception of Dr. James Fleming. 

This special meeting, as approved by the CIF-SF Executive Committee on November 28, 1989, was held for the purpose of counsel, review and evaluation with the appropriate school district superintendents and principals that were involved in recent litigation with the Southern Section. 

Dr. James E. Johnson, Superintendent, and Mr. S. Reeder, Principal of La Puente High School, Mr. H. S. gim, Superintendent, and Dr. William Wong, Principal of Saugus High School, and Dr. Larry Kemper, Superintendent and Dr. Gary Ernst, Principal of Huntington Beach High School, were present before the Executive Committee to review background information and an update to their respective schools' involvement in litigation involving the Southern Section football playoffs. Each representative presented background information and answered questions of the Executive Committee concerning eligibility checks, involvement of booster organizations and the possibility that school board districts and school administration in the overall process of litigation.

Following the hearings, the Executive Committee recommended the following:

1. That each school principal be invited to the State CIF, in concert with attorney Andy Patterson, review the current appeal procedures with Union delegation to make sure that all rights appeal is upheld in that this litigation does not result in damage to any individual or team. However, schools involved in litigation should not have status or the right to use the facilities as they would have with a non-involved school.

2. That the CIF-SF Executive Committee develop guidelines and a future review that would put in place provisions by which leagues and schools can be held accountable for violations of eligibility for members of their respective schools.

3. That the CIF-SF Executive Committee be requested to determine if league by-laws may be in conflict with State CIF and Southern Section Rules and Regulations.

4. That the Office for the 1990-91 school year an orientation program much like the CIF-SF Symposium for school administrators and booster club presidents.

5. That transfer eligibility rules, with consideration being given for mandatory use by member schools.

6. That the Office for the 1990-91 school year a review of the CIF legal counsel. This would include a review of the State Section and Southern Section legal position with reference to litigation by booster clubs and others, with a report forthwith from the State CIF Commission representing the Executive Committee to the Southern Section Council.

The CIF-SF Executive Committee agreed each of the schools for their comments and willingness to share the sequence of events which led to the Southern Section litigation. It is the hope that the executive committee can make the necessary adjustments to litigation of the CIF Southern Section.

There being no further business to come to the attention of the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 2:40 p.m. 

Submitted by:

DEAN CROWLEY
Executive Director

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS

(Continued from page 3)

The CIF-SF Executive Committee discussed the following:

1. The CIF-SF Executive Committee discussed the following:

1. Feared of Dr. Thomas Tufo the renowned sports psychologist from San Jose State University. Dr. Tufo presented a humorous approach to the real estate of sports. Through his personal experiences, he promoted a belief that such involvement is institutionalized in the process. Throughout the course of the conference the NCAA conducted a variety of sectional, dedicated and comprehensive standing committee meetings attended by representatives selected from each state. As a result, the administrative board in the NCAA has given nearly 5,000 Wayne Taylor, president of the NCAA the purpose of this organization is contained in the following:

1. Promoting the professional growth and image of scholastic athletic administrators.

2. Promoting the successful participation of state and national athletic administrative organization.

3. Offering a solution to problems for the exchange of ideas between state oratory.

4. Preserving the educational value of athletics and its placement in today's educational system.

If participation truly is the key to professional growth, then no finer professional experience can be offered to those who will attend this conference.

The right from the executive director general sessions to the telephone for the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance. This event is very special to my inquisitive, better motivated and willing to tackle the everyday concerns in administrative assistance.
Subscription Order Form

In addition to California’s new pitching limitation rule (see page 5), CIF-SF baseball coaches should also be aware of alterations to the 1990 National Federation Rule book.

To offer another opinion to tradition- al wooden bats, the new Federation Baseball Rules Committee has approved the use of graphite com- posite bats for high school baseball. In a June 13-14 meeting in Kansas City, the committee approved graphite bats beginning with the 1990 season. Bats that incorporate other materials along with graphite, such as ceramic or kevlar, are also legal.

The committee also altered Rule 3-4-1 dealing with removal of pitchers. Previously, a pitcher who was replaced after a conference on the field could return to pitch later. Now, after three charged conferences in a seven-inning game or for any charged conference in excess of one in each extra inning, the pitcher must be removed for the duration of the game.

In a team, a new challenge conference if, prior to accepting a challenge, the manager filed three challenges in conference in

NOTE: Complimentary copies of the CIF-SF Bulletin will continue to be mailed to member schools.

1. Subscriptions are now on sale. Issued 6 times yearly for only $10.00.
2. Copies will be sent directly to the address printed on the order form.
3. Each order must include a check or money order for the correct amount made out to “CIF-SF BULLETIN”.

RULE REVIEW

(Continued from page 3)

Sanity has prevailed.
I’ve said it before and I’ll say it again: When a school, a team, or an individual decides to belong to the CIF, NCAA or a professional league, they agree to abide by the rules. Not only that, in this case it is the schools and teams that make the rules in the first place.

What do you think? What if the CIF really comes in holds high schools hostage, calls himself the California Interscholastic Federation, and forces schools to play by his rules or he’ll foreclose on the mortgage?

School representatives make up the CIF-SF Council which makes up the rules. It isn’t a hostile takeover.

This is not the first time this has happened and it won’t be the last (in fact, Savannah and Lu Puente high schools were also turned down in similar fashion). Whatever happens, the sanctity of the contract with the CIF must remain.

What if the school has never been turned down before? What if the school is considered a true “sacred cow”?

According to the CIF-SF By-Laws, schools may appeal the decision. However, if the school decides to appeal, the school must pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal.

WHAT HAS HAPPENED AND WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

In order to keep the schools from appealing the decision, the CIF has eliminated the appeal process. Schools that appeal the decision will be required to pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN?

This means that if a school appeals the decision, the appeal will be handled by the CIF’s staff and the decision will be made by the CIF’s staff. The school will not have the opportunity to present their case to an independent arbitrator.

WHAT IF THE SCHOOL DECIDES TO APPEAL?

If the school decides to appeal, they must pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal. The school will not have the opportunity to present their case to an independent arbitrator.

WHAT IF THE SCHOOL DECIDES NOT TO APPEAL?

If the school decides not to appeal, the decision will be final and the school must comply with the CIF’s decision.

WHAT IF THE SCHOOL DECIDES TO FIGHT THE DECISION IN COURT?

If the school decides to fight the decision in court, the school must pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal. The school will not have the opportunity to present their case to an independent arbitrator.

WHAT IF THE SCHOOL DECIDES TO FIGHT THE DECISION IN COURT AND LOSES?

If the school decides to fight the decision in court and loses, the school must pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal. The school will not have the opportunity to present their case to an independent arbitrator.

WHAT IF THE SCHOOL DECIDES TO FIGHT THE DECISION IN COURT AND WINS?

If the school decides to fight the decision in court and wins, the school must pay the CIF’s costs of the appeal. The school will not have the opportunity to present their case to an independent arbitrator.
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SOUTHERN SECTION CIF CORPORATE SPONSORS:
Supporting Tomorrow’s Stars Today

REEBOK: Major State-Wide CIF Contributing Sponsor.
COCA COLA: Major State-Wide CIF Supporting Sponsor.
CALIFORNIA ANGELS: Co-Sponsors of the Baseball/Softball Championships and Awards Program.
CONLIN BROS. SPORTING GOODS: Official Sporting Goods Team Dealer of the CIF-SS.
FORD MOTOR COMPANY: Co-Sponsor of the Basketball Championships and Academic Awards Program.
GATORADE: Official Thirst Quencher of the CIF-SS.
HERFF JONES: Official Awards Company of the CIF-SS.
JACK IN THE BOX: Co-Sponsors of the Football Championships.
SUNNY DELIGHT: Co-Sponsors of the Soccer Championships.
TURBO SPORTS NUTRITION: Co-Sponsors of the Track Championships.
WILSON SPORTING GOODS COMPANY: Official Ball Company of the CIF-SS.